Thomas R. Hogan, Esq., California State Bar No. 042048 1 LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS R. HOGAN 60 South Market Street, Suite 1125 2 San Jose, CA 95113-2332 Telephone: (408) 292-7600 3 **Attorneys for Defendant** 4 PUBLIC KEY PARTNERS 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 No. CV 94 20512 SW (PVT) ROGER SCHLAFLY, 10 Plaintiff, 11 **DEFENDANT PUBLIC KEY PARTNERS'** 12 CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE PUBLIC KEY PARTNERS and **STATEMENT** 13 RSA DATA SECURITY, INC., Defendants. 14 15 No. CV 96 20094 SW (PVT) RSADSI, 16 Plaintiff, Date: April 17, 1997 Time: 2:00 p.m. 17 Courtroom 4 18 CYLINK CORPORATION, et al. 19 Defendants. 20 21 Defendant Public Key Partners ("PKP") submits the following Case Management Conference 22 Statement. 23 STATEMENT OF CASE 24 Plaintiff Roger Schlafly, appearing pro se, filed this action in July, 1994, against Public Key 25 Partners ("PKP"), and one of its constituent partners, RSA Data Security, Inc. ("RSA") seeking a 26

declaration of patent invalidity and non-infringement; and alleging violations of antitrust law,

interference with contractual relationships, and unfair business practices.

27

28

## SETTLEMENT STATUS

The parties have orally agreed to terms of a settlement that would eliminate several of the issues now before the court. This settlement, which is likely to be finalized prior to the Case Management Conference, will eliminate Stanford as a party, as well as Cylink and Caro-Kann, except to the extent of their involvement as a PKP partner. The settlement agreement will also eliminate and dismiss those issues regarding the Stanford patents.

## **REMAINING ISSUES**

A discovery schedule regarding plaintiff's business tort causes of action should be finalized by the court at this time. It is PKP's position that such discovery can be accomplished within 90 days of the Case Management Conference.

Consideration should now also be given to recalendaring the motion for summary judgment regarding the MIT patents. Finally, PKP believes this case should be set for trial in either August or September, 1997, or at the Court's earliest convenience thereafter.

Dated: <u>APU 8</u>, 1997

THOMAS R. HOGAN

Attorney for Defendant PUBLIC KEY PARTNERS

PROOF OF SERVICE U.S. MAIL 1 2 I am employed in the County of Santa Clara, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 60 South Market Street, Suite 1125, San 3 Jose, California 95113. On April 8, 1997, I served the foregoing documents described as: 4 5 **DEFENDANT PUBLIC KEY PARTNERS'** CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT 6 on the interested parties in this action addressed as follows: 7 Roger Schlafly Karl J. Kramer 8 Post Office Box 1680 Morrison & Foerster LLP Soquel, California 95073 755 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, California 94304-1018 9 10 Robert T. Haslam James R. Busselle Heller Ehrman White & McAuliffe Tomlinson, Zisko, Morosoli & Maser 11 525 University Avenue, Suite 1100 200 Page Mill Road, Second Floor Palo Alto, California 94301-1900 Palo Alto, California 94306 12 Patrick J. Flinn Alston & Bird 13 One Atlantic Center 14 1201 West Peachtree Street Atlanta, Georgia 30309 15 [X] BY MAIL 16 I deposited such envelope in the mail at San Jose, California. The envelope was 17 mailed with postage thereon fully prepaid. As follows: I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and [X]18 processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited 19 with the U.S. postal service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at San Jose, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or 20 postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in 21 affidavit. 22 Executed on April 8, 1997, at San Jose, California. 23 STATE: I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct. 24 25 [X]FEDERAL: I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this Court at whose direction the service was mad-26 27 28